

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/773,580	02/02/2001	John V. Marlow	T8465812US	6252
7:	590 07/01/2003			
Arne I. Fors			EXAMINER	
Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP Suite 4900			ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER	
Commerce Cou	rt West		· 	
Toronto, ON M5L 1J3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3724	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/773,580	MARLOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Boyer D. Ashley	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	April 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>15 April 2003</u> is: a) ☑ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	de besse besse en estado					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	* *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of	r Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/773;580

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed 4/15/03, wherein claims 18-21 were amended.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4/15/03 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Roberts et al., as set forth in paragraph 6 of paper number 5.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that claims 18-21 should be rejoined because they are product-by-process claims and the examiner over looked them. Although, these claims may not have been separately addressed in the restriction requirement they are still patentably distinct from claims 1-6 and 8 for following reason.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,580

Art Unit: 3724

The inventions of claims 1-6, 8 and claims 18-21 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product such as non paperless battery plates.

Applicant contends that there is no teaching for combining the prior art; however, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Roberts discloses that it is old and well known in the art to apply heat when using battery paste to prevent the paste from sticking to working elements directly contacted with the paste. Therefore, Roberts is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem of sticking paste to workpiece elements for making battery plates. One of ordinary skill in the art would look to Roberts to prevent paste from sticking to the cutting elements of AAPA.

Applicant contends that claim 5 requires the addition of the index mechanism; however, it should be noted that structural elements in method claims do not necessarily serve to distinguish the prior art from the claimed invention. See section 2106 of the MPEP.

6. For the reasons above, the grounds of rejection are deemed proper.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/773,580

Art Unit: 3724

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Boyer Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-

1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between

7:30am and 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Bover D. Ashlev **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3724

bda

June 30, 2003

Page 4